G. R. S. [X-5]

Previous reference,

1888-89

File no. 16

182

(Municipal

Serial Nos. 1 75

Later reference,

Inst. 1-04-1870

GENERAL ALPHABETIC SUBJECT LIST

Serial No. 1 [illegible]

Date [illegible]

SUBJECT

[illegible]

D M W 6/20
Incept No 1620

Memo -

Mr Standish Lee Mgr. at once decide about the line of houses in front of the Jaganmohan, to be removed or set back for the purpose of widening ~~Raising~~ said the approach road to Jaganmohan for the west Fort gate. The Dy. Com. will acquire immediately all property required - Sanc. -

Frontages Mgr. be provided on either side of the approach. at the cost of the owners, with the aid of a grant in aid by Govt. I have explained Mr ideas to both the Supt Sanitary Engr & the Dy Com & the Vice President -

This is an important work which Mgr. be taken in hand at & satisfactorily completed before 6 Jan 1900 -

11 March 1900 -

68-704
No. ~~68 AC~~ 820 3 00
copy to Comptroller

[Send Copies of this memo to Mr Standish Lee & the Dy Com & Vice President]

Advance of Rs 3,000 will be made by Cannon's department. The purchase of the above orders

Urgent —
Imperial 16th

In the Mysore Fort, in the lane to the West of the North gate, there is an old house which pro-trudes into the lane so as to make this lane inconveniently narrow for processions connected with the approaching marriage festi-vities. The house belonged to one Bukshi Bhadrappa, but it was recently purchased by the widow of the late Nanjaraj Bahadur.

The part of this house required to set it back on a line with adjoining build-ings should be ac-quired, but if com-pelled by the owner (or if it would be cheaper to buy the whole) the whole should be acquired

I heard at the time that in the Sale deed a sum much larger than what was really paid was entered. This could not have been done for any honest purpose. I asked Mr Madiah to make some enquiry garding this shady action. I dont remember the result. The present Deputy Com-missioner's attention should be drawn to these facts by a Con-fidential D.O.

Please write to the Deputy Commissioner to enter negociations with the owner and to submit as early as possible his ~~&~~ recommendations with a plan of the locality and the compensation required.

The Vice President knows previous negociations and the Palace Controller has instructions to help in the early acquisition of the property for a reasonable price. In deciding what is reasonable the price actually paid by the present owner, not the fictitious one entered in the Sale deed should be borne in mind.

The Deputy Commissioner may be informed of this by D.O.

13.4.900 J.J. K.S

A Declaration should be made and duly published

that the house is required for a public purpose. This is desirable even if the purchase is made by private negociation as I hope it will be.

Yrs &c.

Confidential

My dear Sir,

An order is being sent to-day directing the acquisition of ~~the house~~ old house which protrudes into the lane to the west of the North Mysore Fort. It is understood that this house which formerly belonged to Bakali Badrappa was recently purchased by the widow of late Raya raj Bahadur. At the time of that purchase the Dewan heard that in the Sale Deed a much larger sum had been entered than what was really paid. As this could not have been done for any honest purpose, the Dewan asked Mr Bradish to make some enquiry regarding

this shady transaction. The Dewan does not remember the result of the enquiry and he desires me to draw your attention to the above facts by means of this Confidential D.O.

The Vice-President knows previous negotiations and the Palace Controller has motive-tions to help in the early acquisition of this property for a reasonable price. In deciding what is reasonable the price actually paid by the present owner, not the fictitious one entered in the Sale Deed should be borne in mind.

Yours truly
1574 100

Ootacamund
15th April 1900.

Confidential

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

My dear Sir,

An order is being sent today directing the acquisition of the old house which protrudes into the lane to the West of the North Gate, Mysore Fort. It is understood that this house which formerly belonged to Bakshi Bhadrappa was recently purchased by the widow of the late Nanjaraj Bahadur. At the time of that purchase

the Lemon heard that in the late
Deal a much larger sum had
been entered than what was
really found. As this could
not have been done for any
honest purpose the Demons united
for Vindictive to make some in-
quiry respecting this shady trans-
action. The Demons then set to-
-gether the result of the enquiry
and it seemed as if the Demon upon
attendance of the whole fraternity

means of this Confidential D.O.

The Vice-President knows previous negociations, and the Palace Controller has instructions to help in the early acquisition of this property for a reasonable price. In deciding what is reasonable, the price actually paid by the present owner, not the fictitious one entered in the Sale-deed, should be borne in mind.

Yours truly

B. K. Venkataverdiengar Esqre
Dy Commrs Mysore D.t

Bangalore April 21st 1900

Sir,

I have made the needful enquiry.—

2 Mr Nanjunda Raj Ars shewed me papers which shewed that he had settled 6000 Rs for the house but the Govt replied that the building was not wanted.—

3 Subsequent to it Mr Alijandra Devarajars bought it. Before repairing it he asked the Palace authorities if, as he had heard, the building was required for the Palace: He was informed in reply by the Palace Comptroller that Her Highness the Maharanee Regent had ordered that the building was not wanted by the Palace

3 that Mr Dévarajars might repair it. — Thereon the repairs were executed.

4. Mr Dévarajars says that he paid 7000 ₹ for the house tho' the bond is for 14,000 ₹. —

He wants that sum plus the Cost of repairs viz * 4782-10-10 (including the sum of 455 ₹ paid by him as interest on the money borrowed & pay for the same.) Plus three thousand ₹ and 15 % on the whole as allowed by the Land acquisition regulation.

I am of opinion that (7000 + 4782-10-0)

* Shows accts for this

11,782-10-10 and 15/- thereon
viz 1767 or in all 13,549 ℔ may
be fixed on 13600 ℔ in round figures.-

5. I have, as suggested, availed
myself of the Palace Comptroller's
advice & assistance in this matter.

6. If the above settlement be
approved, I will make the
award - Mr Devaynes does
not, however, agree to it & wants
more 3000 ℔; but I feel unable
to enhance the figure. -

I beg to remain,
Sir,
Your most obt. Servant
W. Cumberland
Dr: Comptr of Expences

P. S.

The whole House has to be acquired,
as partial acquisition will so mutilate it as to
divest it of all ~~the~~ conveniences. –

The Ground Plan wanted by the
Govt. order on the subject is enclosed
for inspection & kind return to
be submitted with any Offrs
reply to the order.

The usual declaration was sent
long ago for publication in the Gazette

Sales to Devon

The Municipality is too poor to pay the cost of acquisition which therefore has to be borne by Govt. I have asked the office to publish the declaration if it has been received — A.

Encl 16 - as Mr!

Seyore
April 17th 1900

Sir, I have just received the order about acquiring that house in the Seypore fort, as also re Do touching it - Having heard that the owner would come, I made some enquiries & learnt that the full amount of the bond had not been paid. It was, however, stated that some sums had been spent for some repairs, &c; I have called for accounts & will go thro them carefully myself as if I were buying the house myself & submit a report. - The Deccan also wrote time on this subject. So, will you please kindly tell him with my respects what I am doing. - Kindly also submit for his information that re the decoration of the town for the Royal marriage, the policy that is being adopted is exactly the same as has been do in

Bhavani House,
Daly - 25 - 4 - 00

Dear Sir,

In returning herewith the plan received with your D O of the 21st instant re the acquisition of Mr Aly under Devaraje Urs' houses in the fort of Mysore, I am desired to observe that the sum proposed to be paid, viz Rs. 11,782-10-10 plus the usual percentage seems to be too large, and that it is difficult to understand that the market price could be anything like Rupees eleven thousand and odd - It is market price and not the amount alleged to have been expended, which should form the basis of calculation under the Land Acquisition Regulation - The accounts must further be carefully examined in order to ascertain whether so much as Rs 11782-10-10 has been really and honestly spent upon the house

When did the Govt reply "that the land was not wanted" and what was the reason given? Please

Leg. — Conf —

Butler Bhadrakhpur house.

1. I understand Dewan wants one part of the Palace & another if for sale —
2. This must in proper State of Things is due to Conduct of Palace officials.
3. Govt does not want the house. Palace wants it. The purpose is a public one in either Case —
4. If D.C. reports I will submit matter to Her Highness & take orders as to accepting the honour for the Palace price asked for —
5. Let me have a brief memo with D.C's final report

15-5-00 H

Bangalore
2/9/00.

My dear Mr. Narasimmiengar

With your letter No 8
dated the 30th July last, you have sent
for sanction and audit a bill for
Rs 11,000 on account of the price
of Bhadrappa's house paid from
the Palace. You have referred in
it to the Dewan's instructions
I do not know what those
instructions were nor is Mr Seeva
Kantesvara Iyer able to
enlighten

me on the subject. We don't find it possible to ask the Dewan about it. May I request you to kindly let me know what the Dewan's instructions were and why the bill has been sent to Govt for sanction and audit.

Yours very sincerely
Sd/ C. Srinivasaraya

Interview with the Demon

The Master asked Bill
Hicks - Mr Kaulbach Has
he not come back -
The question is of
whom was the murder
made - Who is to
pay the price - The
word does not enable
me to answer the
question but the Palace
Controller says the
Demon asked him to
send in a list of
Gentlemen recommended
Kindly Place the
matter before the
Demon when you
can find an October
Number -
10/9/00



Copy

Bangalore
17/9/00

My dear Mr. Kantaraja Urs.

on a letter from the President of the Mysore City Municipality, I find recorded the following note by the Dewan dy 26/5/00 "Other papers sent to H.H. thro' Mr. Kantaraja Urs. H.H. I understand has directed purchase of house (Bakshi Bhadrappa's) by Palace Controller for Rs 9000 + 15%. I have asked Dy Commr to procure for the present the front portion."

The papers thus submitted to H.H. thro: you have not yet come back and are now urgently required in connection with a bill for 11000 Rs/- received from the Palace Controller. May I therefore request you to kindly have them returned to me as early as convenient.

With kind regards

Yours very sincerely

C. S. Ramaswamy

MYSORE PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

-:::::::::

To The Officiating Secretary to Government

Law Department.

-:::::::::

Subd Steel (Revised Land Depts.)

25/9/00

with papers connected with D.O. No 85 - 9/26 4-00

I am directed to forward herewith copies of orders issued by Sir M. Visvesvaraya Iyer in his Memorandum dated 15th March 1900 from which Mr. Crawford Lee was directed to do the needful in the matter.

I am also to forward herewith the accompanying estimate amounting in all to Rs.25,100, received from Mr. Lee for the following works, carried out in connection with H.H. the Maharajah's Marriage.

* * *

2. Construction Bazar Pucca Steps to houses on either side &c. (Rakshasa Vilas) of the above road (Rs.5497-6-0) ..... 11,01.

* * * * *

The 2nd item, construction Bazar Pucca Steps to houses on either side

the

side of above road, costs Rs.11,512, and this amount may be transferred to the Mysore City Municipality, which will do the needful in the matter of the recovery of the amount in full, plus the value of Government land within the frontages, from the parties concerned.

* * * *

Sd/- D. Macneil Campbell Colonel,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore
P.W. Department.

Bangalore
27-9-1900.

This will be referred to Secretary, General and Revenue Departments, for needful being done.

Intd: T.R.A. M.
25-9-1900.

Superintendent & Executive Engineer
27-9-00

Draft Submd.
28/9/00

Tonkshi
Bhadrappas
House

Submitted
Dy 17/10/00

The Dy Comrs
official letter
of 16th Instant,
with my pencil
Notes thereon
explains the
present situation

Lt Col Hall

Ser Kantawaji Kan
Some Shi & Jan
to Sahib & Son

11/10/1900

Bangalore
4/5/11

Dear Mr. Venkatarama Iyer

I have your letter, asking for the adjustment of Rs 11000 paid from the Cash funds on account of Bhadrachalam house. I am desired to request the favour of being informed why Rs 11000 were paid when according to the Record made by the Dewan Sir Seshadri Iyer in the 26th May 1900 the price payable as per Her Highness's orders was only Rs 9,00 plus 15 per cent, total Rs 10350.

Yours Sincerely
(Signed) C. R. Venkatarama Iyer

(Copy)

Vide reply
12/5

Secy's note of 16/3/01
on Sl 31
Let some intelligent
clerk be asked to get up
a short note and submit it
to me in a day or two
(Initd) C.S.

Sl 4.

Memo

Bhakshi Bhadrappa's
house at Mysore

In January 1899
the President of the Mysore
City Municipal Commrs
brought to the notice of
the Govt that Bhadrappa's
house was for sale for
Rs 8000/- and suggested
that the same might be
bought from Provincial
Funds as it would be
necessary to widen the
road.

2 The President was
asked to point out the
necessity for the proposed
acquisition

Sent to Secy 2nd in Comm
Chief Secy.
22/3/07

Subd to 2d Commr
25/3/01

Sl 5

acquisition and to state
why the cost should be
met from Provincial Funds

Subsequently the purchase
was abandoned as being
a luxury. In this connection
it was observed as follows:-

The proposal is
merely to widen the street by
removing a portion of the
house and selling the remainder
This measure is not in the
Dewan's opinion so urgent
as to require execution now
and unless the house can be
got much cheaper the Dewan
would not buy it now. It
has practically no present
market value. That it cost
the owner originally Rs 20000/-

is not quite relevant. It is a wretched situated house, and the Devaraj's idea is that it cannot be bought for much more than what the materials would fetch, and then it should be pulled down altogether & the materials sold, and the price paid or nearly the whole of it recouped".

4 The property was then purchased by Mr. A. Devaraj was for Rs 70000/- (the amount mentioned in the sale deed being Rs 120000/-)

5 In April 1900 however the necessity for acquiring the said house was felt, as it projected into the lane so as

to make the latter inconveniently narrow for processions connected with the marriage festivities. and the Dy: Commr was, therefore, directed to acquire the front of the house required to set it back on a line with adjoining buildings, or if compelled by the owner (or if it would be cheaper to buy the whole) to buy the whole"

b In this connection the Dewan Sir K Sheshadri Iyer observed as follows:-

"I heard at the time that in the sale deed a sum much larger than what was really paid was entered. This could not have been done for any honest purpose. I asked

asked Mr Madras to make some enquiry regarding this shady transaction I don't remember the result. The ~~Resident~~ present Dy: Commrs attention should be drawn to these facts by a confidential DO'

7 Mr B.K Venkatavaradha Iyengar having been written to. that officer reported vide that Mr A. Devaraj Nadar was informed by the Palace Comptroller in reply to a reference from him. that as the house in question was not wanted by the Palace, he might repair it if he wished 12, that he admits having paid Rs 7000/ for

for the house though the bond is for Rs 12.0507- and 13. that he wanted Rs 7000 + 4703 (cost of repair) + 15 %.

Further the Dy: Commr suggested a total acquisition as partial acquisition would so "mutilate the house as to divest it of all convenience"

8 Thereupon the Dy: Commr was informed that the sum proposed to be paid viz Rs 11703 + 15 % was too large and that it was market price and not the amount alleged to have been expended which should form the basis of calculation under the L.A. Regulation

2 May 1900. Mr Madrid

stated that Mr A. Devan was demanded Rs 15000/- for the house and that he declined to part with the house although he offered Rs 9000/- which he (the Dy: Comr:) after enquiry considered to be the market value

10 ※ In the meantime, in pursuance of the Govt: order of the 15th April 1900, the Dy: Comr got a portion of the house dismantled, and requested orders on the three points viz (1) amount of compensation 2, the agency to fix it, Palace or Govt: & 3, the funds from which it should be paid.

~~having been left undecided~~

11) On the 30th June 1900, the Palace Comptroller submitted for sanction and audit a

bill for Rs 11000/- "on account of the settled price of Bhadrappa's house" and stated that "the amount was paid from the Palace Treasury as per personal instructions of the Dewan"

*[On Sept 19, the Dewan had remarked as follows:-

" market value is not what a man may have spent but what the house will fetch in the market Rs 9000/- even is a good deal more than what the house is worth in the market It is also more than what it honestly cost him"]

12 When the Controller's letter was submitted to him, he recorded as follows:—

"I never approved Rs 11000/- as the price - nor can I believe H. H. approved of this. In fact the Palace Controller was not to pay more than what the Panchayat settled as the price".

(X) Ml Rec 4

From my note ¶ 26.5.0 you will see price payable was 9000 + 15% - as per H. H's orders; ie if 9000 is what Panchayat settled".

13 The Palace Controller was accordingly asked to state why Rs 11000 was paid when the price payable was only Rs 9000 + 15%, total Rs 10,3.

In reply that office

states in his D.O. of the 9th + Sl 31-07 9/3/01
Instt that a lump sum of
Rs 11000/- was paid for
it as per directions of
H.H. the Maharani Regent

The point for orders
now is as to what fund
this amount has to be debited.

On this point Sir K.
Sheshadri Iyer has already
recorded that "the work
may be regarded as
Mis: Pub: Improvements
Expenditure" and may
be paid for accordingly".
Materials should be sold. Undismantled
portion retained for the present.
Vide Sl 27 in file of papers

Submitted for orders as to
- the bill may be
passed after the above observations
the Comptroller authorized
debit the charge under "Mis. P.D.
Audit accordingly.

Mark
18

Sir Jesus and Lyo si
impressed me as that
under the Rajhuspi under
the [illegible] Palace
Cambodia had given
the Prince at Rajvoo +
15 per cent i.e 1350 =
10,350. It now turns
out that the Prince
that given me Rs
11,000. The difference
is only Rs 650 - The Govt
Price has increased
from Palace Govt
subject to account-
-ment from State
Govts, & it is now
too late to recover
it - It was already
been held that
the change should be "in?
Public Improvements
Municipal City". This
is not a Financial
Matter & Jesus and Govt
Municipality - It was not
-ment to deceive for
Financial Matters

Sincerely yours
Lt. 24/31st
27/3/41

The question is,
where is the money
to come from?
Are the Highways
& the Comptroller.
Will find out - this
Session

In the meantime
the City Council
take steps of this
Session - at least
what remains left
of it & see what
Council does with
with the object
of making a
Grant of money
for the Sale of
30 Arcmills.

(Illegible)

Issue accepted 6/7/07
J/S - Hong

A.S. Chan
Engd 8/5/01
Draftsman 8/5

Orders were issued accordingly
(Vide Pl. no 31)

The Chief Engineer states
that funds are not available
from the Provincial P.W. Budget
to meet the charge in question,

that the provincial grant for this year having proved insufficient application has been made to Govt: for a supplemental grant to meet the anticipated excess outlay, and that if the building under reference was acquired for Palace Purposes the charge would be debitable to "Palace Grounds".

The Comptroller stated that there is no provision in the Budget for 1900-1901 to meet the said charge, and that a separate provision therefor will be made in the next year's Budget under 24 Miscellaneous

Submitted for orders as to whether the bill for 11,000/- may be sanctioned and the Comptroller authorized to provide for the charge in the ensuing year's Budget, as suggested by him or to admit

rom the general savings
- the excess Wars Civil
Estimate, ~~[illegible]~~ to the Budget of
~~[illegible]~~ the Bill for the
Palace Controller has to be adjusted
immediately ~~[illegible]~~
7/6/01

In Govt Pro No 15272-74
L7 48-1900
of 14th May 1900 (Serial No 31)
the Commissioner has been
asked to report as to the
amount that may be realized
by the sale of the materials
of the house in question.

Submitted to Secty for orders.

12/6/1901

Submitted to the Dewan, in
the absence of the Secty
21/6/01

1070 letters telegrams
of congratulations
were not much
more than a wait and
the Palace has advanced
funds
22.6.01

for Secretary
24/6/1901.

Memo added
24/6/01

Note continued

Provision has been made in the Budget Estimates for 1901-1902 to meet the sum of Rs 11000/- advanced from the palace for the acquisition of Shadrappas house at Mysore, and this amount is included under 23. Miscellaneous ~~under~~ ~~heads~~ unusual charges.

Put in Govt Proceedings.

No: 15-272-74 / L.T-48-1900 & 14th May 1900

The Dy Comr of Mysore has been asked to report as the amount that may be realized by the sale of the materials of the house in question and a reminder has also been issued on 3/6/07. His reply is not yet received. Another reminder to the Dy Comr is herewith Subd for approval.

Subd for orders

11/10/01

for the Dy Comrs reply,
which is on a side
question, the bill
for Rs 11,000 may
at once be passed
the Charge being met
from the provision
in the current years
budget under "23,
Miscellaneous Palace
Expenditure"
Controller has
sent a reminder
(No. 888 24.8.01)

Submitted to
the Secretary for
orders
18/10/01

12/

This may be done.
Submitted to the Diwan

5/11

Rec'd
6.11.01
2335

2493
Yes, send
20.XI.01

Will the Dy
Comrs do
the needful
& send
the proposal
duly

Mysore Dewan's office

ROUTINE NOTES Revenue

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc.]

The Comptroller, Mysore Government in his letter No 6326/1714 dy 30/5/01 stated that against the sum of Rs 30,000 sanctioned as advance for payment of compensation for house property acquired for widening the approach road to the Jagan Mohan Palace in Govt Pro no 85 DC dy 26/4/00, a sum of Rs 34436-0-10 had been drawn and requested sanction of Govt to the Excess amount of Rs 4436-0-10 drawn. Upon this, the Dy Comm, Mysore was called upon in his office No 1013/H 105-2 dy 17/6/01, to submit a detailed report stating (1) what had been done as regards the repayment of Rs 11,812 ordered to be given to Municipality (vide Govt Pro No 3136-40/H 292 dy 13/10/00) subject to repayment at an early date (2) how the question of adjustment of the whole advance of Rs 30000 stands and (3) how the excess charge of Rs 4436-0-10 should be accounted for and on what authority and under what circumstances such excess charge was incurred. To this the Dy Comm has not replied as yet, and draft reminder is therefore herewith put up for approval. Again, the Comptroller in his letter No 178/54 dy 9/7/01 states that a sum of Rs 800/- was drawn by the Dy Comm as advance under Govt Pro no 85 DC dy 26/4/01 quoted above, for dismantling Bakshi Badrappa's house and requests to be informed as to the head to which the charge is to be debitable,

Revenue Govt Pro no 85 DC dy 26/4/00 not

H.D. Hutt

Forward copy of Compt's present letter to Mr Dy Comm for report & Call for the information required in Mr Office No. 100 dy 17-6-01

27/7

Draft submitted 29/7

NB—Should notes etc. be written on slips, the notes used on slips passed on this form.

connected papers thereon any rights
the head to which the sum of ~~Rs 30000~~
4,436-0-10 already reported to have
been drawn, is to be debited.
sides from the Comptroller's letter
~~Subordinate forwarding~~
is not clear whether the sum
Rs 800/- stated, is included
the amount of Rs 34,436-0-10
already drawn. It is not
therefore possible to state to
what head the amount should
debited until receipt of
information called for
the Dy commr

Subd for orders

note continued
the order on the reverse was
communicated to the ~~Deputy~~
ident, city Municipality,
core, calling for the informa-
referred to in the Office
10013 of 17/6/01, and his reply
follows.—
t of the ~~Rs~~ 30000/- sanctioned
purpose of acquiring land
idening the approach road
ranchoham balance Rs 21955/-

N.B—Should notes, etc, be written on small slips the notes must be copied on the slips passed on this form.

as compensation has already been paid to owners of land acquired, and Rs 335/- still remains to be paid

Also Rs 11681-0-10, the actual cost incurred in the construction of the fronta of Lakemivilas Road, was debited to the same Rs 30a as sanctioned in G P No. 31. dt 13/10/1900.

A sum Rs 800/-, the expenditure incurred in dismantling a portion of Bakshi Badrappa's house was also paid out of this Sum as per orders issued by the former Dewan to the then Dy Comr

Thus the total amount debited against Rs 30000/- is Rs 11681-0-10 + Rs 21955 + Rs 800/- or Rs 34436-10 which is in excess of the Sum,

mentioned in Rs 44 50-0-0

mentioned in Comptroller's

letter no. ~~10013~~ 6326/1714 dated 31/5/01

The President says at

the end of his letter that

with regard to the sale of

articles a separate report

will be submitted shortly.

Submitted

for orders



Office Note contd. 17.12.01

The other file sheet at today deals with allegations of the auditors of Barkashe appa's house. For Note on that see contd. sub

How is the Excess
Charge brought
to notice by the
Supt. to be
accounted for.
Under what
Civ. Ser. Rule
incurred &
under whose
orders?
Full report
on the above
points is req
from the Defaulter.

Submitted &
the Secretary
for Orders

VC
15/6/01

Call for a report.

15/6

Note. Continued.

The foregoing orders were communicated to the Dy Comr in this office No. 10013/Fl 1052 dy 17th June 1901.

In reply, the Dy Comr states — Letter No 232 dy 26. 9. 01

(1) that a sum of Rs 21,955/- has been paid as compensation for the lands acquired and that a sum of Rs 335/= on this account is still due;

2) that ~~as per~~ in G. O. No. — 3138-40/Fl 292 dy 13. 10. 1900, the estimated expenditure of Rs 11,812/= ~~incurred~~ for constructing the frontages of the Lakshmi Vilas Road was ordered to be debited to Rs 30,000/= and that the sum of Rs 11681-0-10, the actual cost transferred from the Sanitary Department, was ~~also~~ debited to the said amount of — -

Rs

Rs 30,000/=, and,

3) that the sum of Rs 800/= being the expenditure incurred ~~by~~ in dismantling a portion of Bakshi Bhadrappa's house, was paid out of the same amount, according to the orders issued by the former Dewan to the then Dy Comr.

The total amount debited towards the 30,000/= Rupees is as follows:-

(1) Compensation already paid ———	21955
(2) Expenditure incurred by the Sanitary Deptt in constructing frontage of L V Road	11681 0 10
(3) Expenditure incurred in dismantling Bakshi Bhadrappa's house	800-0-0.
Total	34,436-0-10.

The amount spent over and above the

Sanctioned is Rs 4,436-0-10.
A sum of Rs 335 has still
to be paid towards com-
pensation for lands acquired.
The total excess expenditure
comes to Rs 4436-0-10 +
Rs 335 or Rs 4771-0-10,
to which the Dy Comr
solicits sanction.

The Dy Comr promises
a separate report re-
garding the sale of the
properties. This separate
report is letter No 2102 of
30th Novr 1901, in which
the Dy Comr reports that
a sum of Rs 3110-2-6
was realised from the
sale of the materials of
Bahobi Bhadrappa's house
Please see the office Note
in the linked file

The Dy Comr may be
required to ——
submit bills at
once for Compensation
paid - including
Rs 335 which should
be paid without delay.

when the bills amounting to Rs: 22,290 are received, the funds to which the charge should be debited will have to be considered and the advance of Rs 30,000 adjusted partly

The expenditure incurred by the Sanitary department to the extent of Rs: 11681"0"/0, though paid out of the above advance, should be recovered from the parties concerned. What has been done in the matter of such recovery, the President of the City Municipality does not state. This amount should be recovered and credited in adjustment of the advance to the Municipality. The Orders conveyed in Govt Ro: no: 3138-40 - 7C 292 are very clear on this effect.

Then there remains the 3d item of Rs 800. This may be debited towards the realization of Rs 3110-2-6 - from the sale of the [illegible]

Rachchi Bhadrabhas

Subject & adjustment as above, the Excess payment may be sanctioned as additional amount advanced.

Submitted to the Secretary

21/8/12/10

Read 01/11/02 Why?

Please draft an order above for submission to the Dewan.

8/2

Draft submitted

11.11.02

Submitted with, w° drafts for approval of the Dewan

11/2/1902

Read
17.2.02
3437 (Vide order in the draft

Mysore 16-8-02

Letter No 4102 of 30 11 01
from the Mysore President
Mysore Municipal Board

Note to be made

The amount realized from the sale of the materials of Bakshi Bhairappa's house is reported to be Rs 3110-2-6
The details of this amount are —

(1) Amount realized by the sale of the materials of that portion of the house which was dismantled a little before the celebration of the Royal marriage, towards which a cost of Rs 800 was incurred — 1100 - -

(2) Amount realized in the sale by public auction of the materials of the remaining portion of the house — — 2010 - -

Rs 3110 2 -

The purchaser in the second case is reported to bear the cost of dismantling the house and removing the materials

The only expense remaining to be incurred hereafter is in the removal of debris to

The net realisations
~~Rs~~ in ~~Rs~~ Call Loans
may be ordered
to be credited to
the Provincial
Funds

Dated the 17/12/01

A sum of Rs 30,000 was placed as advance at the disposal of the Dep^t Commissioner of the Mysore district in April 1900, for the purpose of acquiring land for ~~the purpose of~~ widening the approach road to the Jaganmohan Palace Mysore, from West ~~Fort~~gate, and for constructing bazaar frontages in connection with the Maha Rajah's marriage. No 85 D-C dt 26-4-1900

Out of this amount Rs 18,705 were placed by the Dep^t Commissioner at the disposal of the Vice President, Mysore Municipality, on account of compensation payable to owners of the properties acquired for widening approach road and Lansdowne accorded to a further sum of Rs 11,812 which was stated to be cost of constructing bazaar fronts being also paid out of the Rs 30,000 as an advance to the Municipality. Subject to recovery from the owners. 90 No 3138-40/7C292 dt 13-10-00

The Comptroller brings to notice letter No 6326/1714 dt 30th May

N.B.—Should notes, etc., be written on separate slips the notes must be copied on the slips passed on this form.

notice that the total amount of the Bills drawn including the above two items is Rs 34,436-0-10, or Rs 4,436-0-10 in Excess of the amount Sanctioned, and Solicits that further Sanction may be accorded to cover the Excess drawn.

The particulars of the amounts drawn on vouchers No 535, 502, & 357 are not known.

Voucher No 483 drawn by Vice Pres*	8,705-"
Do No 535 Dr	500-"
Do No 502 Dr	300-"
Do No 357 Dr	3250-
Outlay incurred by the Sanitary Dptt as per G.O No 3138-40/pl292 dy 13-10-00	11,681-
Total Rs	34,436-

The Rs 30000 was placed at the Dr Comrs disposal as an advance.

The ~~further~~ sum of Rs 11812 was ordered to be given by the Municipality Subject to repayment at an early date

What has been done in the matter of repayment?

How stands the question of adjustment of the whole advance of Rs 30000

What does the Dr Comr propose to do - Review the matter -?

B—Should notes, etc, be written on small slip the notes must be copied on the slips passed on this form.

MGCPB—20-2-1901-1000

Mulshe
All regards
at mine
Please
Yrs. 25/9

Mysore
25. 9. '02

My dear Ranjitsinhji.

In connection with the recent appointment of the Mysore Town Improvement Committee, it is desirable to know what material there is already in the way of maps and plans and other records. The

May the files with Chuttnute Salon be sent to Rao Sahib Venkatesa Iyer Devasthanam Engg.

Dewan wants that information on this point should be ascertained and the Committee informed of the old schemes, if any, existing.

I sent three copies of the Retrospect yesterday. I hope you have received them.

Yours sincerely
M. Shamiah Rao

Please see separate slip herewith. Putting
3/9

Memo

Sept. 16-46

Few files available in this Branch relating to the Mysore City Improvements are herewith submitted. ——

✓ (1) File no L.7. 266 of 109. Contain papers relating to the improvements effected around Jeevan Rayan Tank and the Jagan Mohan Palace and in the fort.

✓ (2) L.7. 204 of 1893 Contain Correspondences relating to the Drainage scheme of the city, opening out congested parts in the city and the loan applied for to effect the said works. (vide Memo at top of the file)

✓ (3) L.7. 1 Branch file relate to the new extentions of the Mysore City

(4) L.7. 269 of 1893 relate to the operations of the Building Ground

the Atty. (vide the draft order ~~and office book~~ winding up the said Committee - and the office note on the subject.

Please send this to the Revenue Branch under Secty's orders on the D.O.

HB

16/12/09

Sub d.

Files available in the Rev Branch are herewith submitted.

(1) - Correspondence ending with Govt Order No H 5488 26/9/02 re - money spent by the Sanitary Deptt on account of the construction of frontages to the bazars in the Lakshmi vilas Road & papers regd the purchase of lands in Bhadrajipad House, Durgakund

(2) Papers ending with Govt Order No H 1831 28/13/5/02 re - the acquisition of houses for improving the surroundings of the Ambavilas Durgah Palace.

30/9/02

Submd

May these files be sent

30/9/02 Yes - please then send keeping a list with Asstt defaulters for 3/10 further Ref

1 Oct 02

Office Note Contd

The foregoing order was communicated to the officers concerned.

The Chief Engineer now submits a report from the Superintending Engineer, Sanitary Deptt, stating that the entire responsibility of recovering the Sums spent towards the construction of frontage in Lakshmivilasa Road at Mysore is vested in the municipality. The Supdt. Engineer further reports that owing to the laches on the part of the municipality the balance outstanding viz Rs 8,175- cannot be recovered under the Municpl Regulations nor under the L.R. Code but that the 3 parties who have defaulted Municipalikas & who owe Rs 3.613 can be prosecuted

in the Civil Court,
that the Vice President
may be directed to Re-
-Cover what he can, viz Rs 3613/
by legal measure &
to treat the Balance
Rs 4,562/- as a loan to
the municipality.

Sd/- b/ the Secretary
H.M.
12/9/02

The Deputy Com
has not responded to this
office in the matter -
Here papers may
be sent with Dr
Com & a full
report & action
taken under the
Govt order dt 27.2.02
Called for -
Explanation
is also necs as
to why Municipal Hall
were taken from some
& not from all
Concerned -

12
09/9/02

21/9

Notes Current

No. H. 220-21/47-2-02
dated 20.3.09

In the above case it was decided that after deducting the sum of Rs. 300/- on account of the cost incurred for demanding the house of Bakshi Lal, and paid into the Local Fund the balance of Rs 2,110-2-6 should be credited to the Provincial Funds.

The President of the Municipal Committee, Jagraon reports that in April 1908 an expenditure of Rs 286-1-1 was incurred to remove the debris and for levelling the grounds and charged in the Municipal accounts to the adjustment of the said deposit account and that the Municipality has thus in its own interest the whole of the deposit money available for the purpose and has thus no advance to be recovered in his accounts for March 1908

The Executive Engineer asks for sanction for the disposal of the excess of Rs. 285-9-6 to be credited from Rs 2110-2-6 to the Local Funds Provincial Funds.

+ It should be 2,110/2/6

Sd/
HK
3/5/09

The Local Municipal accounts
No. 3110-2-6.
Rs. 3110-2-6
may be credited

Provincial Treasurer
Please issue Warrant for £1000
(one thousand) pounds
£800 (eight hundred) pounds
in addition to
previous grant of £200 (two hundred)
for Wedding Etc: - in future
how should account
this amount
be taken in part
of payment of
Mr Rigney's charge
under Sec 286 Sub 6 -?
I clear
if necessary
Civil Service
9/5/04

1899 / 14

From The President, City Municipality, Mysore

To The Secretary to the Govt of Mysore

Dated
Despatched } Rev & Gl Depts
Received } 3rd January 1899

General No. 474
No.

Enclosure No. ..

Sir

I have the honor to State that the Buckshi Badrappa's house is for Sale and the owner has at last consented to sell it for Rs 6,000. This fact was represented to the Dewan who was pleased to say that the property may be acquired if it is worth that amount. It is a valuable build-ing and must [illegible]

have cost more than Rs 20,000 to build it I request that you will kindly obtain Government Sanction to purchase the same from the provincial fund and instructions for the demolition of the whole house or only that portion of it as would be necessary to widen the road, the remaining portion being sold by public auction.

I have &c:

(Sd) S. Nanjunda Ayyar

For President

"Copy"

[illegible]

President

FROM The Secretary to the Govt of Mysore, Rev and Revenue Dept

To The President of the Mysore City Municipality

Dated
Despatched } 27th January 1899
Received

General No. 8780
No. C-7 83-96
Enclosure No.

Sir

I have the honor to request that you will be pleased to move the Municipality to take into consideration the question of the purchase of Bakshi Badrappa's house in the Mysore City Municipality from Provincial funds.

2. The house is required for the purpose of widening the road in front of the Palace and the Municipality will be required to pay the full value of the house.

3. I have the honor to be Sir Your most obedient servant

Secretary to the Govt of Mysore

Adverting to the above, has the honor to enquire where the house in question is situate, what the necessity for its acquisition is and

and why the cost of the acquisition should not be paid from Municipal funds' and to request that he will be so good as to submit a sketch shewing the road by the side of which the house is situated, and the present and proposed width of the road. Further, proposals as to how the materials of that portion of the building, which is to be demolished will be dealt with should be submitted stating, at the same time, what they are likely to fetch and what price can be expected to be realized by the sale of the remainder of the building.

By Order

Copy

B. Ramadwamaiya

for Secretary

President

Bangalore
1st February/77

My dear Mr H. Ramjimoraj Ji,

Your D.O. of the 28th Ultimo.
re purchase of Bakshi Bhadrappa's
house for Rs 6000

In my No: 8780 dated the
L.T. 83-76
27th Idem, I called for some further
information on the subject. As however
your D.O. received to show that
the matter was urgent I placed it
before the Dewan for orders. &c.

me to inform you that the proposed
purchase is a luxury which Govt
cannot afford just now with so many
demands upon the public Treasury
on account of the Plague. It is not
stated that the removal of the
house in question is required on
sanitary grounds. The proposal is
merely to widen the street by
removing a portion of the house and
selling the remainder. This measure
is not in the Dewan's opinion
so urgent as to require
Govt now and unless the house can be
got much cheaper the Dewan
would not buy it now. It has
practically no present market
value. That it cost the owner
originally Rs 20,000 is not quite
relevant. It is a kutcheri
situated house & the Dewan's
is that it cannot be bought for
much more than what the
materials would fetch.

There it has said be pulled down altogether, the materials sold and the price paid or nearly the whole of it recouped

Yrs &c

(Sd) C. Sreenivasiengar.

Copy

Secretary to President

189 401

FROM [illegible]

[illegible]

To The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore -
Rev & Genl Departments

Dated 1st July 1899

Despatched

Received

General No

No.

Enclosure No.

Sir,

With reference to your docket no 12938 dated 13th ultimo 1899 and [illegible] reminders calling for certain information in regard to the house of Baksh Badrappa in the fort of Mysore proposed to be acquired at the cost of Provincial Funds, I have the honor to state that the purchase of the house

house has been abandoned as per your D. O letter.

Now it has been purchased by Mr. A. Dwaraj ero and he is improving the same.

I have the honor to be
Sir
your most obedient Servant
S/d A Nanjundaraj Ero
For President

Recd.

Proc: of the Government of Mysore.

No 82
OC.
Dt. 15th April 1900.

Proc. 16.66-5

Order:-

In the Mysore Fort, in the lane to the West of the North Gate, there is an old house which pro-trudes into the lane so as to make this lane in-conveniently narrow for processions connected with the approaching marriage festivities. The house be-longed to one Bukoli Badrappa but it was re-cently purchased by the widow of the late Nanja-raj Bahadur.

The Fort &c.,

house required to set
it back on a line with
adjoining buildings should
be required, but if com-
pelled by the owner for
if it would be cheaper to
buy the whole) the whole
should be required.

The Deputy Commis-
-sioner is requested to
enter into negociations
with the owner and to
submit as early as possible
his recommendations
with a plan of the locality
and the compensation
required. ~~and also a~~
~~declaration~~

A graphic Declaration showing the boundaries of
should be made, measured the house & stable,
and published over & that the house is
about required for a
public purpose

that the license is
required for a pub-
lic purpose. This is
desirable even if the
purchase is made
by private negotiation.

Secretary

To

The Dy Commissioner
Wayne Co.

6875
H 1394

COMPTROLLER,

To

THE SECY TO GOVT OF INDIA,

Dated 20. 4. 00

Despatch

Received

No 5404
1877 Encl. 2 -

SUBJECT MATTER

Subg a copy of docket
No. 45-5 of 12.4.00 in re
the compensation for
acquisition made for
widening the approach
road to Jorgenthoka
Palace &c

Treasury Department.

OFFICE OF THE

COMPTROLLER, GOVT. OF MYSORE,

BANGALORE, Dated 20 April 1900.

From

E. R. SUBRAYER, Esq,

COMPTROLLER, GOVT. OF MYSORE.

To

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF MYSORE,

General & Revenue Dept.

Sir,

I have the honor to enclose a
of docket No: 455 of the 12th April 1900
received from the Deputy Commr
Treasury Department, Mysore, in
that he incloses the Dewan's memot
dated 11th March 1900 has placed the
sum of Rs 18705 at the disposal of
Vice President City Municipality
on account of Compensation
payable to the owners of the properties
required for widening the approach
road to Jagan Mohan Palace and

to state that no orders of Government on
a copy of the Memorandum referred
to has as yet been received in this
office in the absence of which —
the necessary authority for the
Treasury Cannot be issued.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
your most obedient Servant

E Barrington
Comptroller

189 - 9 '

FROM The Dy Commissioner
Dy Dept Mysore

To The Comptroller
Govt of Mysore
Bangalore

Dated 12th

Despatched April 1909

Received

General No. 465
No.

Enclosure No.

In forwarding herewith a copy of Devans Memorandum dated 11 March, placing Rs 3000 at the disposal of the Deputy Commissioner Mysore which on account of Conflagration happened to the owners of the properties acquired for widening the Avenue [illegible] Mohan Palace from the Fort Mysore to the

have to inform that on the recreation of the Ly Commissioner Quasi Assessment Rs 10/N.S/ has been placed at the disposal of the Vice Presidn City Municipality pending the receipt of the usual intimation from the Competent

by C Veeraraj Ino.

for Ly Commissioner
Quasi Department
Mysore District

Copy

My Cycle
Asst Engineer
Ino

Con. 6885
Jc 1395 1899-1900

FROM
The Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

To
The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore

Dated 21st Gl & Rev Depart^t

Despatched April 1900

Received

General No. 1902
No.

Enclosure No. 2

Requesting to instruct the Comptroller to authorize the Dist Treasury-Officer Mysore Dist to pay Rs 30,000 sanctioned in Dewan's memorandum for acquisition of horses, Shops &c in front of Jagan Mohan Palace, at Mysore.

I am to request a copy of the Dewan's memorandum for-warded to the Comptroller
Y
25/4/00

R
23.4.00

This is a copy of the Memorandum to the Secretary

23/4/00
Submitted Secretary
R
23/4/00

No. 1002

Encl. No 2

Deputy Commissioner's Office
Mysore District,

Dated Mysore 21st April 1900

To,
The Secretary to the Government
of Mysore
General & Revenue Depts.

Sir,

I have the honor to request you to be good enough to ask the Comptroller to authorise the District Treasury Officer here to pay the 30,000 Rs sanctioned in the Dewan's Memorandum* dated the 11th March 1900. for the acquisition of houses, shops &c. on either side of the road to the Jagan Mohan palace at Mysore

*A Copy enclosed

2. A reference was made to the Comptroller, but he has written to say, in his docket No $\frac{5399}{1875}$ dated the 20th April 1900, (a copy of which is herewith submitted) that he has no orders on the subject.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedt servant

Deputy Commissioner
of Mysore

MM

D.P.W.

Memorandum

Mr Standish Lee should at once decide about the line of houses in front of the Jagan Mohan, to be removed and set back for the purpose of widening the approach road to Jagan Mohan from the west fort gate. The Deputy Commissioner will acquire immediately all property required. Suitable frontages should be provided on either side of the approach road at the cost of the owner with a grant-in-aid from Government I have explained my ideas to both the Superintending Sanitary Engineer and the Deputy Commissioner and the Vice President.

This is an important work which should be taken in hand at once and satisfactorily completed before 6th June 1900

An advance of Rs 30,000/ will be placed at the Deputy Commissioner's disposal for the purpose of the

above orders.

/Signed/ K. Seshadri Iyer

Mysore
11th March 1906

Copy forwarded to the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, for information & guidance

"By order"

/Signed/ C. Sreenivasaiengar

Secy to Govern. ment
Rev: & Genl: Deptt.

Copy

Deputy Commissioner
of Mysore

1899

From,
The Comptroller

To, The Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

Dated 20th April 1900

Genl No 5399
1875

His docket No 2027 dated 10th April 1900 requesting to authorize the Mysore District Treasury to pay the sum of Rs 300 for payment of compensation for the houses acquired for the purpose of widening the approach road to the Jagan Mohan

---

Have the honor to state that no orders of Government having been received in this Office, the required authority cannot be issued

[Signed] H.J. Eagles
Assistant Comptroller

Copy
[illegible]

MM

By Command
of Mysore

n° 85 / 00 by, 20 Queen-St

D. C. /

26 - 4 - 1900.

The Secretary
Treasury Board

Sir, I beg to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 20th instant, and in reply thereto to inform you that the Department of Marine and Fisheries has no objection to the Treasury Board dealing with the application of Messrs. Hall & Co. for the use of the Government Wharf at Port Hope, provided the Board is satisfied that the applicants have the right to the property in question.

I have the honor to be, Sir,
Your obedient servant,
[illegible]
Deputy Minister.

H. T. C.

n° 86 / D. C.) 26-4-1900

[illegible]

Mysore April 29th
1900.

Sir, With your letter of the 25th instant I have received. You say that the amount fixed for Mrs Alejandra Déveraj's house is too much & that the award should be based on the market value of the building. Some time ago the Vice President fixed 6000 Rs for the building, but it was not bought as the Govt did not want it. Thereupon it was bought by its present owner for 7000 Rs. May we not take this to be the market value? After it was bought, Mrs Déveraj has repaired & improved it so the

Palace dept- telling him that he might do so ~~if that~~ it did not want it. I submit herewith an abstract-statement of the cost (4327-10-10) of the repairs &c with vouchers in support of all the items with the exception of a few involving very small items. As this is a compulsory acquisition we are bound, I believe, to give 15% of the whole amount (11327-10-10) This comes to 1302, leaving out as per rules. I beg to be informed which of these sums may be struck off. I have not written the award, but will do so on getting instructions, making further formal enquiries about payment &c;—

2. I enclose herein, ~~&c~~

required, copies of the correspondence from which it will be seen that the house in question was not wanted by Govt at one time.—

3. A fresh plan of the fort prepared by the Executive Engineer Mr Krishnasami Iyer B.A. L.C.E. is herewith submitted together with the one returned by Govt with reply to the query put on it.

4. The declaration has been sent by Govt to the press Officer As soon as it is printed & published and copies thereof are received, steps will be taken to get the building evacuated. I beg to remain,

Sir,

Your most obt servant

W. Mackintosh

Dy. Comr of Coorg



Confd. Urgent

My dear Mr. Madiah.

Herewith I send you the papers as personally desired. The Nawab has no doubt you will effect the most desirable settlement possible - Mr Venkata Varadiengar's services are of material value.

Yours very sincerely
G Greenhouse Smith

Please return these 12/5 (two papers when done with.





1899 1900

FROM The Dy Comm^r of Mysore

To The Secy to the Govt
of Mysore
Genl and Rev Depts

Dated 16th May 1900

Despatched

Received 9/6/

General No. 1099
No.

Enclosure No.

Submitting report regarding
the acquisition of Bakshi
Bhadrappa's house in the
Mysore Fort

No. 1099. Deputy Commissioner's Office
Mysore District
Dated Mysore 16th May 1900

To,
The Secretary to the Government
of Mysore
General and Revenue Depts

Sir,

Adverting to Government order No. 116 88 dated the 23d. April 1900, directing the acquisition, under the Land Acquisition Regulation, of Bakshi Bhadrappa's house in the Mysore fort, for widening the road in front of it, I have the honor to state that I saw Mr. Alexander Devaraj Urs, the owner of the house and had a talk with him in view to an amicable settlement of the amount of compensation which may reasonably be paid for the property. He persistently demands a price of Rs 15,000 and declines to part with the house for any thing less. I offered him Rs 9000 which after enquiry I considered to be the market value, but Mr. Devaraj Urs is unwilling to accept the same.

2 The only alternative left, under the circumstances, is to make an award for Rs 9000 under Section 11 of the

Land Acquisition Regulation, and to take possession of the building under section 16 of the said Regulation

3 A notice has been served under section 9 of the Regulation and the case was posted for final disposal this day, but since the receipt of your D.O of the 15th. instant, I have deferred further action pending orders of Government on the subject

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedt servant,

Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

yes The Secy
with the Govt
Comr, under my orders
to defer taking possession
Under the Orders asked
for in the amended
Notification
Issue for the land as
withdrawn in a Govt
Such a Notification is
generally





Sold to Ellwood for
Favor of Goodbodies
&
23/6/[illegible]

L.175

1909

Put up previous papers
Eng 3/8
Submitted for orders
with previous papers.

From

THE PALACE CONTROLLER
Mysore

1248

To Apteley with the
Dewan
Does this mean that
Govt has to pay
the money? I don't
know what the Dewan's
personal instructions
to the Controller are.
Has you know
any thing about
Nettur Kllase?
11/7/08

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
Genl & Revenue Depts
Bangalore.

Dated 30th July 1908
Dispatched 31
Received
General No. 8
No

Enclosure No. Nil

Sir,

As instructed by the Dewan
in person, I have the honor
to forward herewith for sanction
and audit a bill for Rs -
11,000/- (Rupees eleven thousand
only) on account of the
settled price of Bhadrabhi
house in the Fort at
Mysore purchased.

L.175

1900

Put up previous papers
Sd/ 3/8

Submitted for orders
with the previous papers

From THE PALACE CONTROLLER
Mysore

12-8

To Appaji Rao Avl
Dewan The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
General & Revenue Departments
Bangalore.

Does this mean that
Govt has to pay
the money & I don't
know what the Dewan's
personal instructions
to the Controller were -
Do you know
any thing about
these bills?
11/7/00

Dated 30 July 1900
Despatched 31
Received
General No. 8
No

Enclosure No Nil

Sir,

As instructed by the Dewan
in person, I have the honor
to forward herewith for sanction
and audit a bill for Rs
11,000/- (Rupees eleven thousand
only) on account of the
settled price of Bhadravathi
house in the Fort at

Mysore

Mr. A. Devaraj Bros. The amount has been paid from the Palace Treasury as per personal instructions from His Highness.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Dr Ramaswamy Iyer
Palace Controller.

The Government of Mysore.
To the Palace Controller

To amount paid to Mr. A. Devaraj Urs for the value of Bhadrappa's rose purchased for the Government purposes.	11,000	-
Total Rupees	11,000	-

Rupees eleven thousand only.

Palace Controller's office,
Mysore,
28th July 1900

for Huzoor's
Palace Controller







Mysore,
4 Sep. 1900

Encl: 2 ~~-16~~

My dear Mr. Srinivasa Iyengar.

The acquisition of Bhadrapha's house for the Extension of the Fort Road was ordered at Ooty, and the Commissioner's instructions to the D.C. communicated to his Settlement Officer did not mention the approval of the Durbar: and when the work was required to be done against time, for the necessary proceedings, the "was suggested to H.H. the Maharaja with Regard that the acquisition should be done in the

Palace. H.H. was pleased to direct that 11000 Rs be paid for the house, and the Dewan told me in person to pay the money & send in a bill short for recognment. I have paid the money according to his direction, the demolition of part of the house was done in anticipation.

The property belongs to the

for the municipality, and the
materials of the dismantled
portions were sold by the Munic-
ipality subsequent to the
marriages.

This is the facts of the matter,
and as the money advanced by
the Schools has to be repaid, will
you kindly send us for the
matter settled at your early
convenience?

The facts connected with

the earlier steps of the application
would be with the district authori-
ties if they have not been done
by me already.

Sincerely yours,
W. Nansen

7 l or 8 l selection

Sample 16-5-17

Subd to Dewan
for abol
11-10-00

1717

Spl allce
4
12/10

ad

Dewan's Memorandum in the P W D Dated 11th March 1900 issuing instructions regarding the acquisition of all property required for the purpose of widening the approach road from the West Fort Gate to the Jagannmohan Palace in the Mysore city, & the construction of suitable frontages on either side of that road at the cost of the owners with the aid of a grant from Govt, and Sanctioning an advance of Rs 30,000 being placed at the Disposal of the Dy Commr, Mysore Distt, for the purpose.

Also-Note by the Secretary, D.P.W Dated 22-9-1900 forwarding two abstract Estimates received from the Superintending Engr Sanitary Circle, for an aggregated Rs 11,812 being the Cost of constructing Bazar frontages to houses on either Side of the approach road now known as "Lakshmi

Vilas

7 l on 44 sheets

Compl 16-9-17

Subd to Mysore
for abol Q
11-10-00

1717

Spl advance
Q
12/10.

ad —

Dewans Memorandum
dated 11th March 1900 issuing
instructions regarding the
acquisition of all property
required for the purpose of
widening the approach road
from the West Fort Gate to
the Jagammohan Palace in
the Mysore city, & the con-
-struction of suitable front-
-ages on either side of that
road at the cost of the owners
with the aid of a grant
from Govt, and Sanction-
-ing an advance of Rs 30,000
being placed at the Disposal
of the Dy Commr, Mysore Distt,
for the purpose.

Also-Note by the Secretary,
D.P.W dated 22-9-1900
forwarding two abstract
Estimates received from the
Superintending Engr Sanitary
Circle, for an aggregate Rs 11,812 being the
Cost of constructing Bazar
frontages to houses on either
side of the approach road
now known as "Lakshmi
Vilas

Vilas Road, and Suggesting that this amount may be transferred to the Mysore City Municipality, which will recover the amount in full plus the value of Govt land within the frontages from the parties concerned

Order thereon :—

The Estimates amounting to Rs. 11,812 are Sanctioned with the Direction that the amount be treated as an advance in favor of the Mysore city Municipality out of Rs. 30,000 placed at the disposal of the Dy Commr, Subject to repayment by the Municipality at an early date, after recovery from the owners of the houses in questions as Suggested by the Secretary D. P. W. The Dy Commr will report in Consultation with the Municipality as to how much of this

No. 3138-40
7C292
13. 10. 00

The value of the land within the frontages must also be recovered

2 Answering to the Memo

amount (11,812 Rs) can be treated as grant-in-aid by Govt. & whether that amount can be set off against the value of the Govt land within the frontages, which has been proposed to be resumed from the parties concerned what the value of the land comes to, should also be reported.

Secretary

To

The Dy Commr.
Mysore District
with ~~copies~~ the Estimates.
" Comptr. Mysore Govt
~~with copies of the Estimates~~
" Secretary to Govt.
W.P.W.

11-10-20

Put up for orders at once
Sir
if the whole file
is submitted tomorrow - 10/3/07
Submitted to Secy.
Thes
12/3/07

Mysore,
9 March, 190

Dear Mr. Seetharamiyer

On the representation of
Mr. A. C. Devaraj, I was of the opinion
that the amount awarded
entailed a heavy loss on him,
Mr. the Maharaja. Request was
pleased on the 24th. Jany 1907

Rec'd that a Compromise of
Rs 11000/ be paid for Bhadrachalam
Kowlie. This was accordingly
done

John Nicoll
Lt Quartermaster

(31)

Let them intelligent
clerk be asked to
call up a short note
[redacted] to enquire
one or two days or
two

16/3/07

A.S.Chr

Orig 18/3/01

Read & memo put up

Mat

18/3/07

Imcell-06-19

R.L. 2074.

15272 - 74 & 14/5/01.
L.J. 48 - 1900

From the Chief Engineer
765 & 17-5-01 L 2102

From Comptroller No 637 & 4/6/01
L 2265

Read -

Letter nos 8 dated the 30 July 1900 and from the Palace Comptroller in Mysore submitting for sanction a bill for Rs 11,000/- on account of the settled price of Bhadrappa's house in the Fort at Mysore, and stating that the amount has been paid from the Palace Treasury as per personal instructions of the Dewan.

Read also the subsequent references on the same subject

Order

The bill is sanctioned and forwarded to the Comptroller

Sanction has not been expressly conveyed in the Dewan & Councillors order. Entry therein is inserted subject to approval
March 1st Avg

~~Comptroller from~~ ~~directed~~ ~~that~~
~~The Comptroller and the Chief~~
~~charge being made from~~
~~Engineer are requested to found~~
~~such sources in the State~~
out the source in the current
~~Funds Budget of the current~~
year's Provincial
~~year~~, Budgets from
~~official as may be found~~
which the change, referred to in that above
~~can be met~~
~~at hereafter by the Chief~~
~~Engineer and the Comptroller~~

2 In the meantime

the Dy: Commr of the Mysore
Distt is requested to take
possession of the said house
or at least what has been
left of it and to see what
can be done of it with the
object of realizing as much
money from sale of materials
as possible. A report should
be submitted as early as possible,
as to the amount that may be
realized by the sale of materials &c

BB
11/5 For Secy
10/5

To

The Dy: Commr Mysore Distt
Comptroller ~~with bills~~
& Engineer.

13503
17-5-01
189

MYSORE P. W. DEPARTMENT.

From

The Chief Engineer of Mysore,
Bangalore.

To *The Secy. to the Govt. of Mysore.*
Revenue and Secretariat Department.

No. 2715

Dated Bangalore 17-5-01

Despatched

Enclosure ***No.***

SUBJECT.

Stating that funds are available from the Provincial P. W. Budget to meet the charge of Rs 11000/- on account price of Bhadrappa's house at Fort Mysore

Submitted (may be completed if not received)
D.J 18/5/01

Yes. Send reminder if it is not received in a week

Reminder 5-Camp
Asst Secy
23/5/01.

23/5

D.J 23/5

MYSORE P. W. DEPARTMENT

CENTRAL OFFICE,

Bangalore, 17th May 1901~~99~~

No. 2715

From

The Chief Engineer of Mysore,

To

The Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,

General & Revenue *Department.*

(31)

15272-74

Sir,

With reference to para I of Govt.Pros. No.L.F.48-1900 of the 14th instant, requesting to point out the source in the current year's Provincial Budget from which the charge of Rs.11,000 paid on account of settled price of Bhadrappa's house in the Fort at Mysore, can be met, I have the honour to inform you that funds are not available from the Provincial P.W.Budget to meet the charge referred to, as this the Provincial Grant for this year having proved insuffic-ent, application has been made to Government for a supple-mental grant to meet the anticipated excess outlay.

II If the building under reference was acquired for Pala purposes, the charge would be debitable to "Palace Funds"

I have the honour to be

Sir,

Your most obedient servant

W. D'Sheldon

Offg. Chief Engineer of Mysore

TREASURY ACCOUNTS DEPARTMENT.

No. 6326/1744

OFFICE OF THE COMPTROLLER OF MYSORE.

Bangalore, 30th May 1901. 139

31

From

E. R. SUBRAYER, Esq.,
Comptroller, Mysore Government.

To

The Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,

General and Revenue Depts.

Sir,

I have the honor to submit that against the sum of Rs.30,000/- sanctioned as an advance as per Dewan's memo dated 11th March 1900 received with Chief Secretary's No.85 dated 26th April 00 for the acquisition of all properties required for the purpose of widening the approach road from the West Port gate to the Jagannohan Palace and to meet other charges connected therewith; a sum of Rs.34436-0-10, as shewn in the margin, has been drawn on those accounts and to request that the Government may be moved to accord further sanction to cover the sum of Rs.4436-0-10 drawn in excess.

	Rs.
No.455 for May 00 drawn by the Vice President Mysore City Municipality	18705-0-0
Voucher No.555 ----------Do----------	500-0-0
" " 502 for July 00 ----------Do----------	300-0-0
" " 357 for September 00 ----Do----------	3250-0-0
To outlay incurred by the Sanitary Department in the accounts for September 00 as per Government Pro: No. 3138-40/FL292 dated 13th October 00.----------	11681-0-10
	34436-0-10

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Comptroller.

W.U.C.

MYSORE P. W. DEPARTMENT

CENTRAL OFFICE,

No. 2715

Bangalore, 17th May 1901~~99~~

From

The Chief Engineer of Mysore,

To

The Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,

General & Revenue *Department.*

(31)

15272-74

Sir,

With reference to para 7 of Govt.Pros. No.L.F.48-1900 of the 14th instant, requesting to point out the source in the current year's Provincial Budget from which the charge of Rs.11,000 paid on account of settled price of Bhadrapi House in the Fort at Mysore, can be met, I have the honour to inform you that funds are not available from the Provincial P.W.Budget to meet the charge referred to, as this, the Provincial Grant for this year having proved insufficient, application has been made to Government for a supplementary grant to meet the anticipated excess outlay.

If the building under reference was acquired for Palace purposes, the charge could be debitable to "Palace Funds"

I have the honour to be

Sir,

Your most obedient servant

W. D'V Gribble

Offg. Chief Engineer of Mysore

14205 L 2205

Gen. 30]

199

From

E. R. SUBRAYLR. Esq
Comptroller, Mysore Govt.

To

The Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,
Rev. & Genl. Dept.

Dated

Despatched 4 – 6 – 1

Received

General No. 6376

No.

Enclosure No.

In reply to

SUBJECT.

Informing that there is no provision in the Budget for 1900–01 to meet the bill for Rs. 11000 on account of the settled [illegible] Bhandarpuras [illegible]

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office).

Read & memo Contd.
Mad
6/6

BOOK DEPARTMENT

No. 6376

OFFICE OF THE COMPTROLLER OF MYSORE.

Bangalore, 3rd June 1901. 139

From

E. H. SUBRAYER, Esq.,

Comptroller, Mysore Government.

To

The Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,

General and Revenue ___ *Depts*

Sir,

In reply to Pro: No. 15272-74 1748-1900 dated 14th May 1901, I have the honor to inform that there is no provision in the budget for 1900-1901 to meet the bill for Rs.11000 on account of the settled price of Bhadrappa's house in the fort at Mysore and paid from the Palace Treasury and to state that a separate provision will be made in the next year's Budget under 24 Miscellaneous.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Comptroller.

L 2280

14744

Gen. 30]

From

L. R. SUBRAYER, Esq.,

Comptroller, Mysore Govt.

23

Received 11

The Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,

Rev. dept

Dated

Despatched 15 June

Received

General No. 6654

No.

Enclosure No.

In reply to his lr 6051 dt 3/6/07
L7-40-900

SUBJECT.

Stating that has already been replied in his letter No 6376 dt 4th June 1907

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office).

Book Department (8)

No. 6654

OFFICE OF THE COMPTROLLER OF MYSORE.

Bangalore, 12th June 1901

From

E. R SUBRAYER, Esq.,

Comptroller, Mysore Government.

To

The Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,

Revenue and General Depts

Sir.

x not found Destroyed

In reply to your No: 16051 x. dated 3rd Instant L748-1900 I have the honor to state that your No. 15272-74 dated 14th May 4 requesting to point out the source in the current years Budget from which the charge incurred in acquiring Bakshi Bhadrappa's house in the Fort of Mysore may be met, has already been replied in this office letter No 6376 dated 4th June 1901

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your Most obedient Servant

E. R. Subrayer
Comptroller

Total 11 Encls

Imp Encls 11

190

From

THE SECY. TO THE GOVT. OF MYSORE,
GEN. & REV. DEPTS.

To

The Dy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

Dated 17.6.01

Despatched

Received 17

General No. 10013

H No. 1052

Enclosure No. 1

Has the honor to forward for information copy of letter No. 6326-0,315-0/1714 from the Comptr., Mysore Govt., soliciting further sanction to cover the excess of Rs 4436-0-10 drawn from the Treasury in excess of the advance of Rs 30,000 sanctioned for the purpose of acquiring land, ~~for~~ for widening the approach road to Jagan Mohun Palace, Mysore, and other works and to state that the sum of Rs 11,812 which is stated to be the cost of constructing bazaar-frontages was sanctioned to be paid out of the above advance to the Municipality, subject to G.O.No. 3138-40/H292 dt 13.10.01 repayment

repayment ~~by the owners~~ at in Rangdubi.
It is not known what
steps have been taken
~~in the matter of repayment~~
or what the Dy Commr
proposes to do in the
matter of securing repayment & adjustment of the advance
The Dy Comm^r is requested
to forward ~~submit~~ a full report
on the above and ~~also~~ on the
following points:—
(1) How ~~do~~ stands the question
of adjustment of the whole
advance of 30,000 Rupees?
(2) How is the excess charge
brought to notice by the
Comptroller to be accounted
for? Under what circumstances
was it incurred, and under
whose orders.

By Order

17/6/01

~~For~~ Secretary

Gen. 50]



From

E. R. SUBRAYEP[illegible]

Comptroller, Mysore

To

The Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore

Revenue

Despatched 9 July

Received

General No. 178

No. 54

Enclosure No.

In reply to

Stating that a sum of Rs. 800/- was drawn by the Dy Commr. Bangalore as an advance under Govt Proc: No 85 of April 00 for distributing Barkshi Bandhobasts here - & requesting that he informed the head of account & which he should be charged in the details.

SUBJECT.

References (to be quoted only in the Dewan's Office).

No. 178/54

OFFICE OF THE COMPTROLLER OF MYSORE.

Bangalore, 6th July 01 ~~139~~ 9

From

E. R. SUBRAYER, Esq.,

Comptroller, Mysore Government.

To

The Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,

General + Revenue Depts

SIR,

I have the honor to state that a sum of Rs 800/- was drawn by the Deputy Commr Mysore as an advance under government Pay No. 85 of 61 of April 00 for dismantling Bakshi Bindrappa's house and to request that you will be so good as to inform the head of account to which the charge in question is to be debited.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

E Eagles
for Comptroller

Draft ~~for Standing Orders~~

To

The Deputy Commr
Mysore District

No H. 168 Ex1.
dy 31-7-01

Sir

In forwarding herewith ~~for report~~ a very early report
Copy of letter No. 178/5-4 dy
9/7/01 from the Comptroller,
Mysore Government, ~~stating~~
~~that~~ a sum of Rs 800/- was
drawn ~~from~~ by the Dy Commr.
Mysore as an advance
for dismantling Bakshi
Badrappa's house and
requesting to be informed
as to the head to which the
charge should be debited,
~~for report~~. has the honor
to request that the
Deputy Commissioner
will be good enough

to

to furnish this office
at an early date with
the information already
called for in this office
No 10013
H105-2 of 17/6/01.

By order

29/7/01
For secretary

29/7/01

Copd 30/7/01

4227 Transfer to Rev Dept
Dy 28-9-1901

FROM M. Ramanuja Iyengar Esq
President City Municipality Mysore

To H. V. Nanjundayyah Esq
Secretary to the Govt of Mysore
Genl & Rev. Depts.

Dated
Despatched } 26th September 1901
Received

General No. 232
No.

Enclosure No. 1

Explaining the Case for the excess amount drawn from the Treasury over the amount of Rs 30,000 advanced for widening the approach road to Jaganmohan Palace

Reference
H.D.
30/9/01
Ref. put up
Ld
Dy 28-9-1901 Routine 3/10/01
Continue Office note & submit
4 10 01
H.D. 4/10/01
Ackd with contents
Ld
14

No. 232 ✓ MYSORE CITY MUNICIPAL PRESIDENT'S OFFICE,

Enc. No. , H 464 Encl. one ✓ Dated 26th Sept 1901.

To H. V. Nanjundayyah Esquire M.A., M.L.
The Secretary to the Government of Mysore
Revenue and General department

SIR,

With reference to your docket No 10013/FL 1052 ✓ dated 17-6-1901 forwarding a Copy of Comptroller's letter soliciting sanction to Cover the Expenditure of Rs 4436-0-10 drawn from the Treasury in Excess of the advance of Rs 30000 sanctioned for the purpose of acquiring land for widening the approach road to Jagan mohan Palace, Mysore and requesting to report as to how the question of adjustment of the advance of Rs 30000 stands and how the Excess drawn is to be accounted for &c I have the honour to submit herewith a statement showing the Compensation already paid and to be paid for the properties acquired, from which you will observe

observe that a sum of Rs 21955 has already been paid and charged to Rs 30000.

2

In Govt Proceedings No $\frac{3138\text{-}40}{Jl\ 292}$ dated 13-10-1900 (Copy enclosed for ready reference) estimates amounting to Rs 11812 for Constructing the frontages of Lukshmi Vilas road were sanctioned with orders to debit the charge to the above amount of Rs 30000 and the actual cost transferred from the Sanitary Department and debited accordingly was Rs 11681-0-10.

3

Rs 800 being the Expenditure incurred for dismantling a portion of Bhakshi Badrappa's house was also paid out of this sum as per orders issued by the former Dewan to the then Deputy Commissioner. Thus the total amount debited against Rs 30000 is Rs 34436-0-10 which accounts for the Excess Expenditure of Rs 4436 incurred by the Sanitary Department

A further sum of Rs 335 has to be paid to the parties concerned which will bring up the total Excess Expenditure to Rs. 4771-0-10 for which the sanction of the Govt is solicited.

With regard to the sale of these properties a separate report will be submitted shortly

I have the honour to be
Sir
Your most obedient servant

[signature]
for President

Progs of the Govt of Mysore

Bhadrappa

H-88
of 25

Letter no 8 dated 30th July 1900, from the Palace Comptroller, Mysore, submitting for sanction a bill for Rs 11,000 being the amount paid from the Palace Treasury for the acquisition of Bakshi Bhadrappa's house in the Fort of Mysore.

2. Correspondence ending with letter no. 6376 dated 3d June 1901, from the Comptroller, Mysore Govt stating that as there is no provision in the Budget for 1900-01 to meet this item, a separate provision would be made in the current year's budget therefor.

No. H 753-58 25/11/01

Order thereon:

Sanctioned.

2 The Charge will be met from the provision in the Current year's budget under the head "23 Misc." for the purpose

H 23/11/01
for Secy

To The Palace Controller, Mysore
" Comptroller Mysore Govt.
" Dy: Commr. Mysore &c.

per 26/11/01

Transferred to the Rev. Branch wherein the former reference was disposed of

A Ramaswamy
Assistant Secretary
dtd 3/12/01

7060
3-XII-01 1901

L9

FROM

H Ramanuja Iengar Esq
President Inam
Board

To

H V Nanjundayah Esq M A M L
Secretary to the Govt of
Mysore

Dated 30
Despatched 2/12
Received November 1901

General No. 402
No.

Enclosure No. one

Reporting that Rs 3110-2-9 was realized from the sale of the materials of Balakrishna Bhaddrappa's house in the fort Mysore

Office Note contd overleaf
17/12/01

L 2 48
5.12.01

A. S. Ch
3-12-01
Read & Orders
5/12

Records
Please put up the file
The file of the Station Office
letter will be transferred Records
5/12

File Records
5/12/01

No 407
En 2

The city municipal office
Mysore dated the 30 november 1901

From.

A Ramanuja Iengar Esq
President-municipal Board
Mysore

To

R V Vanyendryyah Esq M A M L
Secretary to the Government of Mysore
Revenue and General departments

Sir,

With reference to Government-Proceedings no 15272-74 dated the 12th L F 48 1900 may 1901 calling for a report as to the amount that may be realized by the sale of the materials of Baksha Bhadrappas house in front of Mysore I have the honor to state that the Palace Controller paid Rs 11.000/- from the Palace Treasury for the house to Mr. G Deva Raj Urs

A portion of the house required for the road was dismantled a little before the celebration of the Royal marriage

and

and the amount realized by the sale of the materials was Rs. 1100-2-6. The cost incurred for dismantling that portion was Rs 800/-

Rs. 2-6 / 50
2 / 1100-2-6 / 200
1000
2110-2-6

As the foundations of the house are exposed the dismantling of the remaining house is urgently necessary. Therefore the materials of that portion were sold to public auction and fetched Rs. 2010/- the purchaser bearing the cost of dismantling the house and removing the materials. The amount fetched on the second sale is more than the estimated cost Rs. 3110-2-9. It has thus been realized on from the sale of the materi

6

The only expense remaining to be incurred hereafter is the removal of the debris, & levelling the ground for which will come to about Rs. 200/-

I have the honor to be

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant

Thangiah Pillay

Asst. President

ಮೇಲಿನ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಅಂಗೀಕರಿಸಿ ಸಹಿ ಮಾಡಿದೆವು ಇತಿ ಸಹಿ/-

30.7.1964

/Signed/

Thank you

Yours sincerely

For President.

7365 190
9-XII-01

FROM
T Ramanuja Iyengar Esqr
President municipal
Board

To
R. V Narayanaswamy Esqr M A B L
Secy to the Board of Inspectors
Revenue Genl Dept &c.

Dated 6th
Despatched
Received December 1901

General No 418
No.

Enclosure No.

Inspl
16-88.
dl 30

Reporting that the opinion of the Board was not taken as to utilizing the amount realized by the sale of the land with frontages in the Laxamivilas Road, as the value of the sites acquired for improving the approach road in the Jagan Mohan Palace was met from the Provincial funds &c &c.

L. Trivor was given to V.K.

L 7
9/12/1 14/12

A.S.ch
Sd 14/12 Sd 14/12/01

No 2116

the city municipal office

Mysore dated the 6th December 01

From.

R Ramanuja Iyengar Esq

President-Municipal Board Mysore.

To

R V Vramundayyah Esq M A M.L.

Secretary to the Government of Mysore

Revenue and General Departments.

Sir,

not found

With reference to your docket-no 55 71. 60 24.12.1

dated the 12th november 01 requesting to state

whether the Board was consulted as to utilising the amount realized by the sale of the

land with frontages in the Laxmi Vilas roa

and to furnish particulars of the funds fro

which the frontage was constructed &c I hav

the honor to state that the opinion of the Boa

was not taken as the expenditure for the

construction of the frontage together wit

the Value of the sites acquired for ein

the approach road in the gajan mohan

Palace was met from the Provincial

vide this office no 232 dated 6th September last

the total cost incurred is Rs 2,06,10

nearly, Rs 30,000/- is to be recovered from

the parties and the sale of lands shall give

undisposed of. It is doubtful whether so

much will be recovered as the value of

of house properties in the city has con-

siderably gone down owing to the pre-

valance of plague for the last two years

I have the honor to be

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant

Asst Collr

for President

Municipality

Inspr
16-85
RL 31

Read

1. Govt. Prov. No 15-272-74 dated 11th May 1901 L.T. 48-1900 requesting the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore, to take possession of Bakshi Badrappa's house or any part of it that remained after dismantling and submit a report as to the amount that could be realized by sale of materials.

2. Govt. Order No. H. 753-5 dated 25th Nov 1901 sanctioning from Provincial funds a sum of Rs 11,000 paid for the acquisition of the house.

3. Letter No. 402 dated 30th November 1901 from the ~~Resident~~

Dr Comr

~~Municipal Board~~, Mysore, reporting the amount realized from sale of materials &c of the above-mentioned house.

Order thereon. Deputy Comr No. H: 1220-1221/8 27.2

~~The President of the Municipal Board~~, Mysore, reports that a portion of Bakshi Badrappa's house was dismantled at a cost of Rs 800/- a little before the celebration of the Royal marriages at Mysore, and a sum of Rs 1,100-2-6 was realized by sale of the materials, that the materials of the remaining portion were sold in auction for Rs 2010, the cost of dismantling being borne by the purchaser and the cost viz Rs 200/- of

removing the debris and levelling the ground charged to Government, and that the total realizations amounted to Rs 3,110-2-6.

The expenditure of Rs 1000 incurred in this connection is sanctioned. The amount should be debited to the realizations from the sale of the materials of the house and the net proceeds, viz Rs 2,110-2-6, credited to Provincial Funds.

13/2/02 Secretary

To The Deputy Commr & President, Municipal Board, Guyana

" Comptroller, Guyana

Read the attached Respondentia Municipality Accounts Mem. Warden was issued with the usual order to remove the debris & level the ground. The work has been done as per alterations

21.II.02

Proceedings of the Govt of Mysore

Read -

Correspondence ending with letter No 232 dated 26th September 1901 from the President, Municipal Board, Mysore, explaining the cause for the excess amount drawn from the Treasury over the sum of Rs 30,000/ sanctioned for widening the approach road to Jaganmohan Palace from the Fort West gate, Mysore on the occasion of the Royal marriages

Mysore
L-B
L 32

No. H. 1222-38 27.2.02
27

Order thereon :-

In April 1900 a sum of Rs 30,000/ was placed at the disposal of the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore, for acquiring house property for widening the approach road mentioned above. Out of this advance, a sum of Rs 18,705 was placed by the Deputy Commissioner at the disposal of the

Vice-President, Mysore-Municipality, for payment of Compensation to owners of the properties acquired for widening the road.

In Govt. order No. 3138-40/FC 292 dated 13th Oct. 1900 it was ordered that a sum of Rs 11,812 sanctioned for the construction of bazaar frontages on either side of the road and treated as an advance in favor of the Mysore City Municipality, should be paid out of the Rs 30,000/- already referred to, subject to re-payment at an early date.

The Comptroller in his letter No 6326/1714 dated 30th May 1901 brought to notice that the money drawn from the Treasury in connection with the above works amounted to Rs 34,436-0-10, or Rs 4,436-0-0

more than the sanctioned amount and requested further sanction to cover the excess drawn.

The Deputy Commr was thereupon requested to submit a full report on the following points

1) Action taken in the matter of the repayment of the advance of Rs 11,812 sanctioned in Govt order dated 13th Oct 1900.

2). How the question of adjustment of the whole advance of Rs 30,000/ stood.

3). The circumstances and the authority under which the excess charge brought to notice by the Comptroller, was incurred.

The President, Mysore City Municipality now submits that again the advance of Rs 5,000

Why did President refer in 2 & order when the Dy Comr submissions make up will cause confusion

a total expenditure of Rs 34,436-0-10 as noted below has been incurred :-

1.) A sum of Rs 21,955/- has been paid as compensation for the properties required and that a sum of Rs 335 on this account still remains to be paid.

2. Under the instructions conveyed in the Govt order dated 13th Oct 1900, the actual cost, viz, Rs 11,681-0-10 incurred in constructing bazaar frontages was transferred from the Sanitary Deptt and debited.

3) A sum of Rs 800/-, being the expenditure incurred in dismantling a portion of Bakshi Bhadrappa's house, was paid as ~~Recovered~~ ordered by the late Dewan

The expenditure incurred over and above the sanctioned amount is Rs 4,436-0-10 as pointed out by the Comptroller and a sum of Rs 335 remains still to be paid towards compensation for lands Ven acquired. Thus the total excess expenditure requiring sanction amounts to Rs 4,771-0-10.

In adjustment of the advance of Rs 30,000/ the Deputy Commissioner is requested to submit bills at once for compensation paid - including Rs 335 which should be paid without delay. When the bills for Rs 22,290 are received, ~~the~~ necessary orders will issue.

The expenditure incurred by the Sanitary

Department to the extent of Rs 11,684-0-10 on account of frontages, though paid out of the above advance, has to be recovered from the parties concerned as originally directed. The President does not state what has been done in the matter of such re-covery. This amount should at once be recovered and credited for in adjustment of the advance in favor of the Municipality, ~~The orders~~ as already ~~conveyed~~ directed in Govt Bros No. 3138-40 / JE 292 dated 13th October 1900. ~~are very clear to this effect.~~

Separate orders have been issued for the adjustment of Rs 800/- incurred in connection with Bakshi Badrappa's house. Deducting this

amount and subject to adjustments as above, the excess payment of Rs 3,971-0-10 is sanctioned as a further advance.

13/2/02 Secretary.

Issued 21.II.02 To The Comptroller, Mysore for.

" D.Y. Commr., Mysore

22/2

Incpt

1' - 85

L 33

(Loc returned)

To

The Dy Commr

Kayamba Distt

No 7L 5482/26/9/02

Sir I Have the honor to forward herewith in orig[inal] memo No 4513 dd 2-8-02 with its enclosures from the Chief Engineer in Kayamba for a full & very early report of the action taken by the Dy Commissioner under your order No H 1222-3 dd 27th Feby 1902 directing him to recover from the parties concerned the sum of Rs 11,681-0-10 spent by the Sanity Deptt on account of the construction of Kontajo to the Lagaard in the Lukolumivilas i

2 In this connection the Dy Commr is requested to explain why, if any were

mupl 16 - 88 f. 1 & -

Papers of reference & Sketches

(1) From President, D.T Bd, Shimoga —

Subg certain papers + requesting sanction for
raising the mileage allotment from Rs 100 to Rs 125 for
repairing the Lokikalderug - Bankipur road

		Muniah		26	7	182	750	-	-	-	-	75	-	-
2		Ramaswamy	Neelakuntiah	25	26	650	1650	-	-	-	-	16	-	-
3		Karry Thimiah	do	24½	26	630½	1500	-	-	-	-	15	-	-
4		Laximipathiah		25½	26	663	1280 65	-	-	65	-	128	-	-
1		Bujjamnah	Bujjannah	44¾	3	134	150	-	-	150				
2		Do	Do	33	3½	115½								
3		Thadappah	Government	17⅔	14½	384¼	1500	-	-	-	-	1500	-	-
4		Chickannah	Chickannah											
		Ano Cachyard land Jagan	Mohan Road	6	18	108	120	-	-	120	-	-		
1		Narashima Rangachar	Narasima Rangachar	5¼	5½	289⅜	375	-	-	-	-	37	-	-
			Total			7119⅛	22295	-	-	335	-	2195	-	-

← South block → ← North block → ← South

H Thompson

For. President

Mysore City Municipality

Statement showing the compensation already paid and to be paid for the properties acquired for widening the approach road to Jagan Mohan Palace Mysore.

Situation	Survey No.	The former owners	The present owners	The extent of land acquired and the amount of compensation thereof: Extent E & W.	Extent N & S	Area	Compensation settled	To be paid	Paid	Remarks.
< South East side >	1	Marakah	Government	16½ 24½ 15	22 5 4½	553	700		700	
	2.	Obiriayah	do	24½	17	116	2000		2000	
		Fathamabee		15¼	17	259	1000		1000	
	3	Nilgeri Sowkar Puttamma		28	25	700	2800		2800	
		Pathamabee house	do	21 5½ 4 30	10 23½ 10 10½	694	350		350	
	4	Shimiah and brothers	do	24	10	240	2400		2400	
		Dodiah	do	24½	7	171½	1000		1000	
		Venkatappah	do	24½	9½	233	850		850	
do →		Kariya Setty	do	27	8	216	700			









Particulars of work	No.	Dimension L	B	H	Quantity	Total	Rate	Amount
	1	2¾	¾	7⅛		15		
	1	1	¾	4		3		
	1	8⅞	1⅛	3		30		
	3	4	¾	5½		50		
	1	27⅛	1⅛	3½		11		
	1	60	¾	4		180		
		26	1½	3		117		
	1	4¾	1½	3		21		
	1	26	1⅛	9¾		285		
	1	4¾	1⅛	10		53		
	1	21½	1⅛	8½		301		
	3	5	¾	8		90		
	4	1⅛	1⅛	2		10		
	2	6	1⅛	½		7		
	1	4	1⅛	½		2		
						1175		

	4	2½	1⅛	5 = 56
	6	5¼	1⅛	1⅓ = 47
	2	1½	1⅛	2 = 7
	1	31½	1⅛	4 = 142
				252

						252		
					923	Cft.	2	6 144 6
	1	8⅞	1⅛	8	80			
					142 222	Cft.	1	9 24 4 6
	1	2¾	7⅛		20			
	1	3	4		12			
	1	8¾	4⅜		38			
	1	7¾	8¾		68			
	1	23⅞	3⅜		81			
	2	8⅞	10		178			
	6	3½	5½		116			
	1	33½	4½		151			
	1	7½	9		69			
	2	21	4		168			
	2	39	4		312			
	1	7¾	6¼		48			
	1	6¼	2½		16			
	1	60	4½		270			
	1	40	5		200			
	2	57½	9		1035			
	8	5	8		320			
	1	50	8		400			
					50			
	14	1⅛	2		31			
	4	6	1½		36			
	1	4	1½		12			
					3629			

		2	2⅛	2¾	•	12				
		2	1⅝	2	•	6⅔				
		1	1¾	2⅛	•	4				
		3	3½	6	•	63	85⅔			
								1	• •	85 10 •
		1	4½	2¾	•	12				
		1	4¼	6⅛	•	27	39	30	• •	11 11 2
		3	2½	1¼	•	9				
		2	3¼	1¼	•	8				
		3	3	1¼	•	11				
		1	6	2¼	•	14				
		3	5	1¼	•	18¾				
		4	4	1¼	•	26	80¾			
								1	• 6 •	30 4 6
		1	1⅔	2	•	3				
		1	2½	2⅞	•	6				
		2	2⅛	2¾	•	12				
		2	1¾	2	•	7	28	1	• •	28 • •
		2	16	¾	•	24	24	• 14 •		21 • •
		17	2¼	¾	•	29	29	• 8 •		14 8 •
		2	17	2¼	•	76	do			
		2	17	2	•	68	144	• 8 •		72 • •
		3	3½	6	•	63	63	1	• •	63 • •
							20	• 8 •		10 • •
		1	77	•	10	770				
		1	44	•	10	440				
		3	8	•	6	144				
		1	124	•	9	1116				
		1	48	•	10	480				
		1	26	•	10	260				
		1	34	•	9	306				
		1	38	•	9	342				
		1	46½	•	10½	488	4346	• 3 •	8	2 •
		1	25	20	•	500				
		1	22	21¾	•	478½				
		1	14½	9½	•	138				
		1	6½	6½	•	42½				
		1	9¾	7½	•	73				
		1	9¾	9¾	•	95	13.27	• 4 •	3	5 •

Brought forward		594	0
Carried to Abstract		452	5
Cash in hand		20	0
Cash in Bank		20	0
Petty Expenses		2	8
Postage Stamps		12	0
Telephone Charges		80	0
Electric Charges		50	0
Water Charges		75	0
Repairs to Buildings		30	0
Repairs to Furniture		8	0
Repairs to Machinery		6	0
Salaries		10	0
Bonus		21	0
Provident Fund		18	0
Insurance Premium		2	0
Income-tax		2	0
Audit Fees		1	0
Legal Charges		2	0
Miscellaneous		2	0
Interest on Loans		40	0
Commission		5	0
Bad Debts		28	0
Depreciation		6	0
Transfer to Reserve		5	0
Dividend		2	0
Balance c/d		5	0
		452	5
Balance b/d		5	0
Profit for the year		50	0
		55	5
Gross Profit b/d		40	0
Interest		10	0
Rent		2	0
Commission		1	0
Profit on Sale of Assets		2	0
Miscellaneous Receipts		2	0
		55	5

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ಸಿ.ಆರ್.ಎಂ.ಎಸ್.ಎಸ್.ಎಸ್

/ ಎಲೆಕ್ಟ್ರಿಕಲ್ ಪವರ್ ಸಪ್ಲೈ ಕಿಟ್

/ ಕಂಪ್ಯೂಟರ್ ಸಿಸ್ಟಮ್ ಇಂಟರ್ಫೇಸಿಂಗ್ ಮತ್ತು
ಪ್ರೋಗ್ರಾಮಿಂಗ್ ಕಿಟ್

/ ಎಲೆಕ್ಟ್ರಾನಿಕ್ ಪ್ರಾಜೆಕ್ಟ್ (ಹೈ) ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ಕೆ ಎಸ್
ಕೆ ಹೈ (ಲೈ) ಎಂಬತ್ತು ಸಾವಿರ ರೂಗಳು

/ ಕಂಪ್ಯೂಟರ್ ಸಿಸ್ಟಮ್ ಇಂಟರ್ಫೇಸಿಂಗ್ ಮತ್ತು ಪ್ರೋಗ್ರಾಮಿಂಗ್ } 100
ಇಂಟೆಲ್ ಕೆ (ಲೈ) ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ಮೈಕ್ರೋ

ಒಟ್ಟು ಸಾಮಗ್ರಿಗಳ ವೆಚ್ಚ — 4240

ಶಿಕ್ಷಕರ ಎಲ್ಲಾ ಪ್ರಯಾಣ ಭತ್ಯೆಗಳು ಸೇರಿದಂತೆ ಒಟ್ಟು ವೆಚ್ಚ. 84

ಅಂತಿಮ ಪರೀಕ್ಷೆಯ ಸಮಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಬಾಹ್ಯ ಪರೀಕ್ಷಕರಿಗೆ ಒದಗಿಸಬೇಕಾದ ಸೌಲಭ್ಯಗಳ ವೆಚ್ಚ 3

ವಿದ್ಯಾರ್ಥಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಒದಗಿಸಬೇಕಾದ 30 ದಿನಗಳ ತರಬೇತಿಯ ಸಮಯದಲ್ಲಿ
ಅವರಿಗೆ ಅಗತ್ಯವಾದ ಕೈಪಿಡಿಗಳು ಮತ್ತು ಇತರೆ ಸಾಮಗ್ರಿಗಳ ವೆಚ್ಚ } 455

4782-00.

ನಂ ॥

ಗುಂಟೂರು ಮ್ಯುನಿಸಿಪಾಲಟಿಯಿಂದ ಕೊಡಲ್ಪಟ್ಟ ಹಣಾಸಂದ ರಸೀತಿ.

ತಾರೀಖು 5 ನೇ ಡಿಸೆಂಬರ್ 1894

ಮೊಹಲ್ಲಾದಲ್ಲಿ ಇರುವ

ಮಾಬತ್ತು ಪೊಟ್ಟಲಗು

ರೂಪಾಯಿ ಬಂದ ಆಫೀಸನ ಪ್ರೈ ॥ ರೋಜುವಾಡಾ ನೇ ಡಿಜೆನ್ಲ್ಲೆ

16 ನೇ ನಂಬರಾಗಿ ಬರೆದುಕೊಂಡುಯಿರುತ್ತೆ.

ಮೊಬಲಗು 70 —

ವೈಸ್‌ಪ್ರಸಿಡೆಂಟ್.

నిరసనపత్రములు మాకు కావలసినవారు 700 మం
నకు పంపవలసినది — 5/6/99

ಮೈಸೂರು ಮ್ಯುನಿಸಿಪಾಲಟಿಯಿಂದ ಕೊಡಲ್ಪಟ್ಟ ಹಣಸಂದ ರಸೀತಿ.

ತಾರೀಖು 26ನೇ ಇಂಗ್ಲೀಷ್ 189 ನೇ.

ಮೊಹಲಾದಲ್ಲಿ ಇರುವ

ಬಾಬತು ಮೊಬಲಗು

ಪೊಪಾಯಿ ಬಂದ ಆಫೀಸಿನ ಮೆ ॥ ರೋಜುವಾಡಾ ನೇ ಪೇಜಿನಲ್ಲಿ

15ನೇ ನಂಬರಾಗಿ ಬರೆದುಕೊಂಡುಯಿರುತ್ತೆ.

ಮೊಬಲಗು 46 — —

ವೈನ್ಪಸಿಂಡೆ.

Mysore Govt. Branch Press, Mysore.—24-11-90.—300